Optimality conditions in convex (semi-)infinite optimization.

An approach based on the subdifferential of the supremum function.

Marco A. López

University of Alicante

24-06-2020 Variational Analysis and Optimisation Webinar CIAO, Federation University, Australia We deal with the convex optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathcal{P}) & \text{Min} & g(x) \\ & \text{s.t.} & f_t(x) \leq \mathsf{0}, \ t \in \mathcal{T}, \\ & x \in \mathbb{C}, \end{array}$$

where T is an arbitrary (possibly infinite) index set, \mathbb{C} is a non-empty closed convex subset of a (separated) locally convex vector space X, and $g, f_t : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, t \in T$, are proper lsc convex functions defined on X. We assume that the *constraint system*

$$\tau := \{ f_t(x) \le 0, \ t \in T; \ x \in \mathbb{C} \}, \tag{1}$$

is *consistent*; i.e., it has a non-empty *set of feasible solutions*, which is represented by \mathbb{F} .

An important particular case is that in which the explicit constraints are *affine and* continuous and there is no constraint set \mathbb{C} (equivalently, $\mathbb{C} = X$), i.e.

$$\tau = \{ \langle a_t^*, x \rangle \le b_t, \ t \in T \}, \tag{2}$$

where $a_t^* \in X^*$, $t \in T$.

When *T* is *infinite*, the objective function *g* is *linear*, and $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, we are dealing with the so-called *linear semi-infinite optimization problem* (*LSIP*, in brief).

- To study, in the framework of infinite convex systems, some CQ's as the Farkas-Minkowski property (FM, in brief) and the local Farkas-Minkowski property (LFM, in short).
- O To provide optimality conditions by appealing to the properties of the supremum function of an infinite family of convex functions and the characterizations of its subdifferential.
- To formulate weak CQ's and derive associated optimality conditions.

Summary

- Associated unconstrained problems
- Notation and basic tools
- KKT'1 optimality conditions FM property
- KKT'2 optimality conditions LFM property
- KKT'3 asymptotic optimality conditions
- Subdifferential calculus for the sum and the supremum function
- KKT'4 conditions for SIP under compacity/(upper)continuity
- Bibliographical comments and references

• Let $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{F}$, introduce the function

 $\varphi(x) := \sup\{g(x) - g(\bar{x}); f_t(x), t \in T; I_{\mathbb{C}}(x)\},\$

and consider the unconstrained problem

inf φ , $x \in X$,.

- For x ∈ 𝔽 one has g(x) g(x̄) ≥ 0, and so φ(x) ≥ φ(x̄) = 0. Hence, x̄ is optimal for (𝒫) ⇒ θ ∈ ∂φ(x̄).
- φ is a supremum function, and ∂φ can be expressed using the approximate/exact subdifferentials of g and the ft's.
- We also have that \bar{x} is optimal for (\mathcal{P}) if and only if \bar{x} is optimal for $\inf_{x \in X} (g + I_{\mathbb{F}})(x)$.

Notations and basic tools

- X is a (real) Hausdorff locally convex space (Hlsc); X* is its dual space; X and X* are paired in duality by (x, x*).
- Given $A, B \subset X$ (or in X^*), we consider the Minkowski sum: $A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}, \quad A + \emptyset := \emptyset + A := \emptyset.$
- conv *A* is the convex hull of *A*, cone *A* is the convex cone generated by *A* (cone $\emptyset = \{\theta\}$), and aff *A* is the affine hull of *A*.
- int A is the *interior* of A, cl A and A denote indistinctly the *closure* of A (w*-*closure* if A ⊂ X*); rint A is the topological *relative interior* of A (i.e., the interior of A in the topology relative to aff A if aff A is closed, and Ø otherwise).
- $N_A(x)$ is the normal cone to A at $x \in A$.
- A family of convex sets {A_i, i ∈ I} such that ∩_{i∈I}A_i ≠ Ø has the strong conical hull intersection property (the strong CHIP) at x ∈ ∩_{i∈I}A_i if

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N}_{\bigcap_{i\in I}\mathcal{A}_{i}}(x) &= \sum_{i\in I} \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}(x) \\ &:= \left\{ \sum_{i\in J} \mathsf{a}_{i}, \ \mathsf{a}_{i}\in \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}(x), \ J \text{ being finite subset of } I \right\} \end{split}$$

- Given $h: X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, dom *h* and epi *h* represent its *(effective) domain* and epigraph, respectively.
- *h* is proper if dom *h* ≠ Ø; it is convex if epi *h* is convex, and *h* ∈ Γ₀(X) if it is proper, lower semicontinuous and convex.
- $\overline{\operatorname{conv}}h$ represents the *lsc convex hull* of *h*; i.e., $\operatorname{epi}(\overline{\operatorname{conv}}h) = \overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\operatorname{epi}h)$.
- The ε-subdifferential of h at x ∈ h⁻¹(ℝ), ε ≥ 0, is the w*-closed convex set in X*

$$\partial_{\varepsilon} h(x) := \{ x^* \in X^* \mid h(y) - h(x) \ge \langle y - x, x^* \rangle - \varepsilon, \ \forall y \in X \}.$$

• If $\partial h(x) \neq \emptyset$,

$$h(x) = (\operatorname{cl} h)(x) \text{ and } \partial_{\varepsilon} h(x) = \partial_{\varepsilon} (\operatorname{cl} h)(x).$$
 (3)

The Legendre-Fenchel conjugate of h is the lsc convex function $h^*: X^* \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ given by

 $h^*(x^*) := \sup\{\langle x, x^* \rangle - h(x) \mid x \in X\}.$

We have $h^* = (\operatorname{cl} h)^* = (\overline{\operatorname{conv}} h)^*$. Moreover,

 $x^* \in \partial h(x) \Leftrightarrow h(x) + h^*(x^*) \le \langle x, x^* \rangle \Leftrightarrow h(x) + h^*(x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle.$

The *support* and the *indicator* functions of $A \neq \emptyset$ are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(x^*) &: &= \sup\{\langle a, x^* \rangle \mid a \in A\}, \text{ for } x^* \in X^*, \\ \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) &: &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \in X \setminus A. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

 σ_A is sublinear, lsc, and satisfies $\sigma_A = \sigma_{\overline{\text{conv}}A} = I^*_{\overline{\text{conv}}A}$. Therefore, $epi \sigma_A$ is a closed convex cone.

• For every family of functions f_i , $i \in I$, (I arbitrary), we have

$$(\inf_{i \in I} f_i)^* = \sup_{i \in I} f_i^*.$$
 (4)

If $\{f_i, i \in I\} \subset \Gamma_0(X)$ and $\sup_{i \in I} f_i$ is proper, then

$$(\sup_{i\in I} f_i)^* = \operatorname{cl}\operatorname{conv}(\inf_{i\in I} f_i^*).$$
(5)

• For $f, g \in \Gamma_0(X)$ such that dom $f \cap \operatorname{dom} g \neq \emptyset$, it is well known that

$$(f\Box g)^* = f^* + g^*, \ (f + g)^* = \mathrm{cl}(f^*\Box g^*).$$
 (6)

Clearly, (6) and (4) imply that

 $epi(f + g)^* = cl(epi f^* + epi g^*), epi(sup_{i \in I} f_i)^* = \overline{conv}(\cup_{i \in I} epi f_i^*).$ (7)
The closure operation in the first equation is superfluous if one of f and g is *continuous* at some point of dom $f \cap dom g$. Then, $epi f^* + epi g^*$ is w^* -closed (see, e.g., *Zalinescu'02*).

KKT'1 optimality conditions - FM property

Definition

We call *characteristic cone* of $\tau = \{f_t(x) \leq 0, t \in T; x \in \mathbb{C}\}$ to the convex cone

$$\mathbb{K} := \operatorname{cone} \left\{ \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \operatorname{epi} f_t^* \cup \operatorname{epi} \sigma_{\mathbb{C}} \right\} = \operatorname{cone} \left\{ \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \operatorname{epi} f_t^* \right\} + \operatorname{epi} \sigma_{\mathbb{C}}.$$
(8)

For the linear system (2),

epi
$$f_t^* = (a_t^*, b_t) + \mathbb{R}_+(\theta, 1), \ t \in T$$
,

and

$$\operatorname{epi} \sigma_{\mathbb{C}} = \operatorname{epi} \sigma_X = \mathbb{R}_+(\theta, 1).$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{K} = \operatorname{cone} \{ (a_t^*, b_t), \ t \in T; \ (\theta, 1) \} \subset X^* \times \mathbb{R}.$$

(9)

Lemma

If $\mathbb{F} = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : f_t(x) \le 0, t \in T\} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\operatorname{epi} \sigma_{\mathbb{F}} = \operatorname{cl} \mathbb{K} = \operatorname{\overline{cone}} \left\{ \bigcup_{t \in T} \operatorname{epi} f_t^* \cup \operatorname{epi} \sigma_{\mathbb{C}} \right\}.$$

Proof.

[Proof (sketch)] If $h := \sup\{f_t, t \in T; I_{\mathbb{C}}\}$, we have

 $x \in \mathbb{F} \Leftrightarrow h(x) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow h(x) = 0.$

Then, by (5),

 $h^* = \{\sup \{f_t, t \in T; I_{\mathbb{C}}\}\}^* = \operatorname{cl\,conv}\left(\inf \{f_t^*, t \in T; \sigma_{\mathbb{C}}\}\right),\$

and

epi
$$\sigma_{\mathbb{F}} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{cone}\operatorname{epi} h^*) = \operatorname{cl}\mathbb{K}.$$

(*) follows from Lemma 3.1(b) in Jey'03 (infinite-dimensional version)

Theorem (generalized Farkas)

Let $\varphi, \psi \in \Gamma_0(X)$. Then $\varphi(x) \le \psi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$, assumed non-empty, if and only if

$$\operatorname{epi} \varphi^* \subset \operatorname{cl} \left(\operatorname{epi} \psi^* + \mathbb{K} \right). \tag{10}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi(x) & \leq & \psi(x) \; \forall x \in \mathbb{F} \iff \varphi \leq \psi + \mathrm{I}_{\mathbb{F}} \\ \Leftrightarrow & (\psi + \mathrm{I}_{\mathbb{F}})^* \leq \varphi^* \\ \Leftrightarrow & \operatorname{epi} \varphi^* \subset \operatorname{epi} (\psi + \mathrm{I}_{\mathbb{F}})^*, \end{array}$$

but applying (7), the previous lemma, and cl(A + B) = cl(A + cl B):

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{epi} (\psi + \mathrm{I}_{\mathbb{F}})^* &= \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{epi} \psi^* + \operatorname{epi} \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}) \\ &= \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{epi} \psi^* + \operatorname{cl} \mathbb{K}) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{epi} \psi^* + \mathbb{K}). \end{split}$$

Corollary

Given $(a^*, \alpha) \in X^* \times \mathbb{R}$, the inequality $\langle a^*, x \rangle \leq \alpha$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$, assumed non-empty (i.e., $\langle a^*, x \rangle \leq \alpha$ is a continuous linear consequence of τ), if and only if

 $(a^*, \alpha) \in \operatorname{cl} \mathbb{K}.$

Proof.

Apply the generalized Farkas theorem with $\varphi = \langle a^*, \cdot \rangle - \alpha$ and $\psi \equiv 0$. Then, $\langle a^*, x \rangle \leq \alpha$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} (a^*, \alpha) + \mathbb{R}_+(\theta, 1) &= \operatorname{epi} \varphi^* \\ &\subset \operatorname{cl} (\operatorname{epi} \psi^* + \mathbb{K}) = \operatorname{cl} (\mathbb{R}_+(\theta, 1) + \mathbb{K}) = \operatorname{cl} \mathbb{K}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\langle a^*, x \rangle \leq \alpha$ is a consequence of τ if and only if $(a^*, \alpha) \in \operatorname{cl} \mathbb{K}$.

The following property is crucial in getting KKT-type optimality conditions for problem (\mathcal{P}) .

Definition

We say that the *consistent* system $\tau = \{f_t(x) \leq 0, t \in T; x \in \mathbb{C}\}\)$ is *Farkas-Minkowski* (*FM*, in brief) if **K** is *w*^{*}-closed.

Theorem

If τ is FM, then every continuous linear consequence $\langle a^*, x \rangle \leq \alpha$ of τ , $(a^*, \alpha) \in X^* \times \mathbb{R}$, (i.e., $\langle a^*, x \rangle \leq \alpha$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$) is also consequence of a finite subsystem

 $\tau_S := \{f_t(x) \leq 0, t \in S; x \in \mathbb{C}\}, \text{ with } S \subset T \text{ and } |S| < \infty.$

The converse statement holds if τ is linear.

The following theorem (*Dinh, Goberna, López, Son' 07*) provides *non-asymptotic* KKT-type optimality conditions for the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathcal{P}) & \text{Min} & g(x) \\ & \text{s.t.} & f_t(x) \leq 0, t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, \end{array}$$

whose constraint system $\tau := \{f_t(x) \leq 0, t \in T; x \in \mathbb{C}\}$ has a non-empty set of feasible solutions \mathbb{F} .

Theorem (KKT'1)

Given the problem (\mathcal{P}) , assume that τ is FM and that g is continuous at some point of \mathbb{F} , and let $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{F} \cap \operatorname{dom} g$. Then \overline{x} is a global minimum of (\mathcal{P}) if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{(\mathcal{T})}_+$ such that $\partial f_t(\overline{x}) \neq \emptyset$, $\forall t \in \operatorname{supp} \lambda$, and the KKT conditions

$$\theta \in \partial g(\overline{x}) + \sum_{t \in T} \lambda_t \partial f_t(\overline{x}) + N_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{x}) \text{ and } \lambda_t f_t(\overline{x}) = 0, \ \forall t \in T, \qquad (\mathsf{KKT'1})$$

hold.

Here $\mathbb{R}^{(\mathcal{T})}_+$ is the space (convex cone) of functions $\lambda : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which vanishes at every point of \mathcal{T} except at finitely many.

Proof.

[Proof of KKT'1 (sketch)] The point $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{F} \cap \operatorname{dom} g$ is a minimizer of (\mathcal{P}) if and only if

$$\theta \in \partial(g + I_{\mathbb{F}})(\overline{x}) \stackrel{(^{*})}{=} \partial g(\overline{x}) + \partial I_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{x}) = \partial g(\overline{x}) + N_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{x});$$

$$(11)$$

i.e., if and only if there exists $x^* \in \partial g(\overline{x})$ such that $\langle x^*, x \rangle \geq \langle x^*, \overline{x} \rangle$ is consequence of τ .

 $({}^{*})$ Thanks to the continuity of g at some point of $\mathbb{F}\equiv dom\,I_{\mathbb{F}}.$

 (\Rightarrow) If \overline{x} is a minimizer of (\mathcal{P}) , since τ is FM we have

$$-(x^*, \langle x^*, \overline{x} \rangle) \in \operatorname{cl} \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K} = \operatorname{cone} \left\{ \bigcup_{t \in T} \operatorname{epi} f_t^* \right\} + \operatorname{epi} \sigma_{\mathbb{C}},$$

and $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{(\mathcal{T})}_+$, $x_t^* \in \operatorname{dom} f_t^*$, $\alpha_t \geq 0$, $\forall t \in \mathcal{T}$, $z^* \in \operatorname{dom} \sigma_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\beta \geq 0$, satisfying

$$-(x^*, \langle x^*, \overline{x} \rangle) = \sum_{t \in T} \lambda_t \left(x_t^*, f_t^* \left(x_t^* \right) + \alpha_t \right) + (z^*, \sigma_{\mathbb{C}} \left(z^* \right) + \beta),$$

leading to (KKT'1) by the relationship between the subdifferential and the conjugate.

 (\Leftarrow) Straightforward (standard argument).

KKT'2 optimality conditions - LFM property

Let us introduce a weaker CQ. Given $z \in \mathbb{F}$, the set of indices corresponding to the *active constraints at z* is $T(z) := \{t \in T : f_t(z) = 0\}$. It is easily verified that

$$N_{\mathbb{C}}(z) + \operatorname{cone}\left(\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}(z)} \partial f_t(z)\right) \subseteq N_{\mathbb{F}}(z).$$
(12)

Definition

The consistent constraint system τ is *locally Farkas-Minkowski (LFM*, in short) at $z \in \mathbb{F}$ if

$$N_{\mathbb{F}}(z) \subseteq N_{\mathbb{C}}(z) + \operatorname{cone}\left(\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}(z)} \partial f_t(z)\right).$$
(13)

 τ is said to be *LFM* if it is *LFM at every feasible point* $z \in \mathbb{F}$.

In LSIP ($\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^n$, $f_t(x) = \langle a_t, x \rangle - b_t$, $t \in T$), (13) becomes $N_{\mathbb{F}}(z) \subseteq \operatorname{cone} \{a_t, t \in T(z)\}$.

The LFM property is closely related to the so-called *basic constraint qualification* at z. In fact, LFM and BCQ are equivalent under the continuity of the function $f := \sup_{t \in T} f_t$ at the reference point z and $z \in int \mathbb{C}$. The following proposition is a LFM counterpart of a similar property for FM systems.

Theorem

Let $z \in \mathbb{F}$. If τ is LFM at z and for certain $a^* \in X^*$ we have

 $\langle a^*, x \rangle \leq \langle a^*, z \rangle$, for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$,

then $\langle a^*, x \rangle \leq \langle a^*, z \rangle$ is also a consequence of a finite subsystem of τ . The converse statement holds provided that τ is linear.

The converse statement in the last proposition does not hold in general for convex systems without any additional assumption.

Obviously,

$$\tau$$
 is $FM \Rightarrow \tau$ is LFM at any $z \in \mathbb{F}$.

The following theorem provides a *second* KKT-type optimality conditions for the problem

 (\mathcal{P}) Min g(x) s.t. $f_t(x) \leq 0, t \in T, x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem (KKT'2)

Given the problem (\mathcal{P}) and $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{F} \cap \operatorname{dom} g$, assume that τ is LFM at \overline{x} , and that g is continuous at some point of \mathbb{F} . Then \overline{x} is a global minimum of (\mathcal{P}) if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{(T)}_+$ such that $\partial f_t(\overline{x}) \neq \emptyset$, $\forall t \in \operatorname{supp} \lambda$, and the KKT conditions hold

$$\theta \in \partial g(\overline{x}) + \sum_{t \in T} \lambda_t \partial f_t(\overline{x}) + N_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{x}) \text{ and } \lambda_t f_t(\overline{x}) = 0, \ \forall t \in T.$$
 (KKT'2)

Proof.

According to Pshenichnyi-Rockafellar theorem (e.g. Zal'02 [Th. 2.9.1]),

$$\begin{split} \bar{x} \text{ is optimal for } (\mathcal{P}) & \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial g(\bar{x}) \cap (-N_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{x})) \neq \emptyset \\ & \Leftrightarrow \quad \theta \in \partial g(\bar{x}) + N_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{x}) \\ \overset{\textit{LFM}}{\Leftrightarrow} \theta & \in \quad \partial g(\bar{x}) + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}(\bar{x})} \lambda_t \partial f_t(\bar{x}) + N_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{x}). \end{split}$$

KKT'3 asymptotic optimality conditions

Theorem (KKT'3)

Given the problem (\mathcal{P}) , let us assume that X is a Banach reflexive space, that τ is FM and $(\operatorname{dom} g) \cap \operatorname{rint}(\mathbb{F}) \neq \emptyset$. Then, $\overline{x} \in (\operatorname{dom} g) \cap \mathbb{F}$ is optimal for (\mathcal{P}) if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\lambda^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^{(\mathcal{T})}_+$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \lambda^{\varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{T}(\overline{x})$ and the following condition holds:

$$\theta \in \partial_{\varepsilon} g(\overline{x}) + \sum_{\operatorname{supp} \lambda^{\rho}} \lambda_t^{\varepsilon} \partial f_t(\overline{x}) + N_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{x}) + \varepsilon \mathbb{B}_{X^*}.$$
(14)

Proof.

[Sketch of the proof] (\Rightarrow) Since $(\operatorname{dom} g) \cap \operatorname{rint}(\mathbb{F}) \neq \emptyset$, Cor. 5 in *Correa, Hantoute, López'16* yields

$$\partial(g + I_{\mathbb{F}})(\overline{x}) = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \operatorname{cl}(\partial g_{\epsilon}(\overline{x}) + N_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{x})).$$

Then,

$$\overline{x} \text{ is optimal for } (\mathcal{P}) \Leftrightarrow \theta \in \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \mathrm{cl}(\partial g_{\epsilon}(\overline{x}) + \mathrm{N}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{x})).$$

Proof.

[Sketch of the proof] Since X is reflexive, $cl(\partial g_{\varepsilon}(\overline{x}) + N_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{x}))$ coincides with the closure of $\partial g_{\varepsilon}(\overline{x}) + N_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{x})$ for the topology of the (dual) norm in X^* and, so, for every $\rho > 0$,

 $\theta \in \partial g_{\varepsilon}(\overline{x}) + \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{x}) + \rho \mathbb{B}_{X^*}.$

Thus, taking $\rho = \varepsilon$, there exists $a_{\varepsilon}^* \in N_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{x})$ such that

$$\theta \in \partial g_{\varepsilon}(\overline{x}) + a_{\varepsilon}^* + \varepsilon \mathbb{B}_{X^*}.$$

Since $a_{\varepsilon}^* \in N_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{x})$ is equivalent to say that $\langle a_{\varepsilon}^*, x \rangle \leq \langle a_{\varepsilon}^*, \overline{x} \rangle$ is a consequence of the FM system τ , we conclude the existence of $\lambda^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^{(\mathcal{T})}_+$, $\operatorname{supp} \lambda^{\varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{T}(\overline{x})$, such that

$$a_{\varepsilon}^* \in \sum_{\operatorname{supp} \lambda^{\varepsilon}} \lambda_t^{\varepsilon} \partial f_t(\overline{x}) + \operatorname{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{x}).$$

The necessity is proved.

 (\Leftarrow) Straightforward (standard arguments).

Subdifferential calculus rules for the sum

• First results for the sum:

a) Suppose that one of the following conditions hold:

i) $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\operatorname{rint}(\operatorname{dom} f) \cap \operatorname{rint}(\operatorname{dom} g) \neq \emptyset$,

ii) X is a Hlcs and $(\operatorname{dom} g) \cap (\operatorname{cont} f) \neq \emptyset$ (cont $f = \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom} f)$ if X is Banach and f is proper).

Then

$$\partial(f+g)(x) = \partial f(x) + \partial g(x).$$

b) If $f, g \in \Gamma_0(X)$ one has (*Hiriart-Urruty, Phelps' 93*) $\partial(f+g)(x) = \bigcap_{\epsilon>0} \operatorname{cl}(\partial_{\epsilon}f(x) + \partial_{\epsilon}g(x)).$

c) If $(\operatorname{dom} g) \cap \operatorname{rint}(\operatorname{dom} f) \neq \emptyset$, and $f_{|\operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{dom} f)}$ is continuous on $\operatorname{rint}(\operatorname{dom} f)$ then (Th.12 in *Correa, Hantoute, López'16*) yields

$$\partial(f+g)(x) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \operatorname{cl}(\partial f(x) + \partial g_{\varepsilon}(x)).$$

Subdifferential of the supremum function

• Let $f_t : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $f_t \in \Gamma_0(X)$, $t \in T$, and $f := \sup_{t \in T} f_t$. Let $x \in X$ be such that for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, (i) $T_{\varepsilon_0}(x) := \{t \in T : f_t(x) \ge f(x) - \varepsilon_0\}$ is compact, (ii) $\forall z \in \text{dom } f, t \mapsto f_t(z)$ is use on $T_{\varepsilon_0}(x)$, Then (*Correa, Hantoute, López'19*)

$$\partial f(x) = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{F}(x)} \overline{\operatorname{conv}} \left(\bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}(x)} \partial (f_t + I_{L \cap \operatorname{dom} f})(x) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{F}(x) := \{L \text{ is a finite-dimensional subspace of } X \text{ such that } x \in L\}$ • If f is continuous at some point,

$$\partial f(x) = \overline{\operatorname{conv}}\left(\bigcup_{t \in T(x)} \partial f_t(x)\right) + \operatorname{N}_{\operatorname{dom} f}(x).$$

• If f is continuous at x,

$$\partial f(x) = \overline{\operatorname{conv}}\left(\bigcup_{t\in\mathcal{T}(x)}\partial f_t(x)\right) \stackrel{X=\mathbb{R}^n}{=} \operatorname{conv}\left(\bigcup_{t\in\mathcal{T}(x)}\partial f_t(x)\right).$$

KKT'4 conditions for SIP under compacity/continuity

Given the convex SIP problem (\mathcal{P}) we define

 $\mathbb{D} := \operatorname{dom} g \cap \operatorname{dom}(\sup_{t \in T} f_t).$

Theorem

(Correa, Hantoute, López'19) Let \bar{x} be a feasible point of (\mathcal{P}) , with $T(\bar{x}) \neq \emptyset$, and assume that $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0$ such that: (i) the set $T_{\epsilon_0}(\bar{x}) := \{t \in T \mid f_t(\bar{x}) \ge -\epsilon_0\}$ is compact, (ii) for each $z \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{C}$, the function $t \mapsto f_t(z)$ is use on $T_{\epsilon_0}(\bar{x})$. Then, if \bar{x} is optimal for (\mathcal{P}) the following conditions holds:

$$0_n \in \operatorname{co}\left\{\partial g(\bar{x}) \cup \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}(\bar{x})} \partial f_t(\bar{x})\right\} + \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{C}}(\bar{x}),$$

provided that

 $\operatorname{rint}(\operatorname{dom} f_t) \cap \operatorname{rint}(\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{C}) \neq \emptyset, \ \forall t \in \mathcal{T}(\bar{x}),$ $\operatorname{rint}(\operatorname{dom} g) \cap \operatorname{rint}(\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{C}) \neq \emptyset.$

Marco A. López (University of Alicante)

Theorem

(Correa, Hantoute, López'19) Suppose that T is compact, for each $z \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{C}$ the function $t \mapsto f_t(z)$ is use on T, and the family { \mathbb{C} , dom f_t , $t \in T$, dom g} has the strong CHIP at \bar{x} . Then, if \bar{x} , with $T(\bar{x}) \neq \emptyset$, is optimal for (\mathcal{P}) the following inclusion holds

$$0_n \in \operatorname{conv}\left\{\partial g(\bar{x}) \cup \bigcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}(\bar{x})} \partial f_t(\bar{x})\right\} + N_C(\bar{x}) + N_{\operatorname{dom} g}(\bar{x}) + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} N_{\operatorname{dom} f_t}(\bar{x}).$$

If, additionally, the following Slater-type CQ holds

 $\sup_{t \in T} f_t(x_0) < 0$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{C} \cap \operatorname{dom} g$,

then there exist a (possibly empty) finite set $\widehat{T}(\bar{x}) \subset T(\bar{x})$ such that $\partial f_t(\bar{x}) \neq \emptyset$ for $t \in \widehat{T}(\bar{x})$ and scalars $\lambda_t > 0$ for $t \in \widehat{T}(\bar{x})$, satisfying

$$0_n \in \partial g(\bar{x}) + \sum_{t \in \widehat{T}(\bar{x})} \lambda_t \partial f_t(\bar{x}) + N_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{x}) + \sum_{t \in T} N_{\operatorname{dom} f_t}(\bar{x}),$$
(15)

with the convention that $\sum_{\emptyset} = \{0_n\}.$

- The closedness of IK was introduced in *Charnes, Cooper, Kortanek'65* as a general assumption for the duality theory in LSIP (see also *Goberna, López'98*).
- The FM property for convex systems was first studied in *Jeyakumar, Lee, Dinh'04*, with X being Banach and all the functions finite valued, under the name of *closed cone constraint qualification*. The FM property is strictly weaker than several known interior type reguality conditions.
- The LFM property, under the name of *basic constraint qualification* (*BCQ*), appeared in *Hiriart-Urruty, Lemaréchal'93*, relatively to the ordinary convex programming problem, with equality and inequality constraints.
- It was extended in *Puente, Vera de Serio'99* to the setting of linear semi-infinite systems. The consequences of its extension to convex semi-infinite systems were analyzed in *Fajardo, López'99*.
- For a deep analysis of BCQ and related conditions see also *Li*, *Nahak*, *Singer'00* and *Li*, *Ng'05*. An extensive comparative analysis of constraints qualifications for (\mathcal{P}) is also given in *Li*, *Ng*, *Pong'08*.

References

- CHARNES A. COOPER W.W., K.O. KORTANEK K.O., On representations of semi-infinite programs which have no duality gaps, Management Science 12 (1965) 113–121.
- CORREA R., HANOUTE A., LÓPEZ M.A., Weaker conditions for subdifferential calculus of convex functions. J. Funct. Anal. 271 (2016), no. 5, 1177–1212.
- CORREA R., HANOUTE A., LÓPEZ M.A., Towards supremum-sum subdifferential calculus free of qualification conditions. SIAM J. Optim. 26 (2016), no. 4, 2219–2234.

CORREA R., HANOUTE A., LÓPEZ M.A., Valadier-like formulas for the supremum function I. J. Convex Anal. 25 (2018), 1253–1278.

CORREA R., HANOUTE A., LÓPEZ M.A., Valadier-like formulas for the supremum function II: the compactly indexed case. J. Convex Anal. 26 (2019), 299–324.



CORREA R., HANOUTE A., LÓPEZ M.A., *Moreau-Rockafellar-type* formulas for the subdifferential of the supremum function, SIAM J. Optim. 29 (2010)

Marco A. López (University of Alicante)

CORREA R., HANOUTE A., LÓPEZ M.A., Subdifferential of the supremum function via compactification of the index set, Vietnam J. Math. to appear (2020)

- DINH N., GOBERNA M.A., LÓPEZ M.A., From linear to convex systems: consistency, Farkas' lemma and applications. J. Convex Anal. 13 (2006), 113–133.
- DINH N., GOBERNA M.A., LÓPEZ M.A., SON T.Q., New Farkas-type constraint qualifications in convex infinite programming, ESAIM: COCV 13 (2007)
- FAJARDO M.D., LÓPEZ M.A., Locally Farkas-Minkowski systems in convex semi-infinite programming. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 103 (1999), 313-335.
- GOBERNA M.A., LÓPEZ M.A., *Linear Semi-infinite Optimization*. J. Wiley, Chichester (1998).

JEYAKUMAR V., Characterizing set containments involving infinite convex constraints and reverse-convex constraints, SIAM J. Optimization 13 (2003) 947-959.



JEYAKUMAR V., LEE G.M., DINH N., A new closed cone constraint qualification for convex Optimization, Applied Mathematics Research Report AMR04/8, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia (2004)

HIRIART-URRUTY J.-B., PHELPS R.R.: Subdifferential calculus using epsilon-subdifferentials, J. Funct. Anal., 118 (1993)

HIRIART-URRUTY J.-B., LEMARÉCHAL C.: Convex Analysis and Minimization Algorithms I, II, Springer-Verlarg, Berlin, 1993.

HIRIART-URRUTY J.-B., MOUSSAOUI M., SEEGER A., VOLLE M.: Subdifferential calculus without qualification conditions, using approximate subdifferentials: A survey, Nonlinear Anal., 24 (1995)

- LI W., NAHAK C., SINGER I., Constraint qualification for semi-infinite systems of convex inequalities. SIAM J. Optimization 11 (2000) 31-52.
- LI CH., NG K.F., On constraint qualification for an infinite system of convex inequalities in a Banach space. SIAM J. Optimization 15 (2005) 488-512.
- LI CH., NG K.F., PONG T.K., Constraint qualifications for convex inequality systems with applications in constrained optimization. SIAM J. Optim. 19 (2008), 163–187.
- PUENTE R., VERA DE SERIO V.N., Locally Farkas-Minkowski linear semi-infinite systems. TOP 7 (1999) 103-121.
- THIBAULT, L., Sequential convex subdifferential calculus and sequential Lagrange multipliers, SIAM J. Contr. Optim. 35 (1997)
- ZALINESCU, C. Convex analysis in general vector spaces. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002.

Thanks you for your attention