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(1) $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ : finite dimensional Euclidean space
(3) $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ : the inner product
(3) || $\cdot \|$ : the induced norm
(1) |•|: the absolute value
(0) convD the convex hull of the set $D$
(0) linD the linear span of the set $D$
(1) $\operatorname{Gr}(T)$ the graph of $T:\left\{(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}: u \in T(x)\right\}$.
( $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ : The set of polynomials with degree $\leq n$
(0) $\mathbb{F}_{n}: \operatorname{lin}\left\{h_{0}(t), h_{1}(t), h_{2}(t), h_{3}(t), \cdots, h_{n}(t)\right\}, h_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function.

Consider the operator $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and the set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the variational inequality problem for $T$ and $C$, denoted by $\operatorname{VIP}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C})$, is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } x^{*} \in C: \exists u^{*} \in T\left(x^{*}\right):\left\langle u^{*}, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we denote the solution set of problem (1), by $S_{*}$.

Consider the operator $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and the set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the variational inequality problem for $T$ and $C$, denoted by $\operatorname{VIP}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C})$, is defined as:
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\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } x^{*} \in C: \exists u^{*} \in T\left(x^{*}\right):\left\langle u^{*}, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we denote the solution set of problem (1), by $S_{*}$. Related with the $\operatorname{VIP}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C})$ is the dual variational inequality problem (DVIP(T,C)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } x^{*} \in C: \forall u \in T(x):\left\langle u, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which solution set is denoted by $S_{0}$.
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$$

we denote the solution set of problem (1), by $S_{*}$.
Related with the $\operatorname{VIP}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C})$ is the dual variational inequality problem (DVIP(T,C)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } x^{*} \in C: \forall u \in T(x):\left\langle u, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in C \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which solution set is denoted by $S_{0}$.
When the operator $T$ is pseudo-monotone, it is known that both problems are equivalents, i.e., $S_{*}=S_{0}$. But there are examples (see Burachik, R. and Díaz Millán, R.) for which $S_{*} \neq S_{0}$.

## Definition

Given a convex, closed and non-empty subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We define the projection of $x$ onto $C$, denoted by $P_{C}(x)$, by the unique solution of the problem

$$
\min _{z \in C}\|z-x\|
$$

## Definition

Given a convex, closed and non-empty subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We define the projection of $x$ onto $C$, denoted by $P_{C}(x)$, by the unique solution of the problem

$$
\min _{z \in C}\|z-x\| .
$$

## Orthogonal Projection Properties

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be closed and convex. For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and all $z \in C$, the following holds:
(1) $\left\|P_{C}(x)-P_{C}(y)\right\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\left\|\left(x-P_{C}(x)\right)-\left(y-P_{C}(y)\right)\right\|^{2}$.
(2) $\left\langle x-P_{C}(x), z-P_{C}(x)\right\rangle \leq 0$.

## Definition

Let $S$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. A sequence $\left(x^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be Fejér convergent to $S$ if and only if for all $x \in S$ there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|x^{k+1}-x\right\| \leq\left\|x^{k}-x\right\|$ for all $k \geq k_{0}$.

## Definition

Let $S$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. A sequence $\left(x^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be Fejér convergent to $S$ if and only if for all $x \in S$ there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|x^{k+1}-x\right\| \leq\left\|x^{k}-x\right\|$ for all $k \geq k_{0}$.

## Fact

If $\left(x^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fejér convergent to $S$, then the following hold
(1) The sequence $\left(x^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.
(2) The sequence $\left(\left\|x^{k}-x\right\|\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges for all $x \in S$.
(3) If an accumulation point $x_{*}$ belongs to $S$, then the sequence $\left(x^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $x_{*}$.

## Definition

A point-to-set operator $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called:

- Monotone, iff for all $(x, u),(y, v) \in G r(T)$,

$$
\langle u-v, x-y\rangle \geq 0 .
$$

- Pseudo-monotone, iff for all $(x, u),(y, v) \in G r(T)$, the following implication holds:

$$
\langle u, y-x\rangle \geq 0 \Longrightarrow\langle v, y-x\rangle \geq 0
$$

- Quasi-monotone, iff for all $(x, u),(y, v) \in \operatorname{Gr}(T)$, the following implication holds:

$$
\langle u, y-x\rangle>0 \Longrightarrow\langle v, y-x\rangle \geq 0
$$

## Definition

A point-to-set operator $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called:

- Monotone, iff for all $(x, u),(y, v) \in G r(T)$,
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\langle u-v, x-y\rangle \geq 0 .
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- Pseudo-monotone, iff for all $(x, u),(y, v) \in G r(T)$, the following implication holds:

$$
\langle u, y-x\rangle \geq 0 \Longrightarrow\langle v, y-x\rangle \geq 0
$$

- Quasi-monotone, iff for all $(x, u),(y, v) \in \operatorname{Gr}(T)$, the following implication holds:

$$
\langle u, y-x\rangle>0 \Longrightarrow\langle v, y-x\rangle \geq 0
$$

It is clear that every monotone operator is pseudo-monotone, and every pseudo-monotone operator is quasi-monotone.
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Now, we present a modification of the Linesearch F, used in Burachik-Millán, suitable for our problem:

## Linesearch

Input: $x \in C, \beta>0$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$. Set $\alpha \leftarrow 1$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$. Define $z=P_{C}(x-\beta u)$ with $u \in T(x)$. If for all $u \in T(x)$

$$
\min _{u^{\alpha} \in T\left(x_{\alpha}\right)}\left\langle u^{\alpha}, x-z\right\rangle<\delta\langle u, x-z\rangle,
$$

where $x_{\alpha}=\alpha z+(1-\alpha) x$, then $\alpha \leftarrow \theta \alpha$, Else Stop and choose $u^{\alpha} \in T\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ :
$\left\langle u^{\alpha}, x-z\right\rangle \geq \delta\langle u, x-z\rangle$
Output: $\left(\alpha, u^{\alpha}\right)$.

## Agorithm F

Given $\left(\beta_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset[\check{\beta}, \hat{\beta}]$ such that $0<\check{\beta} \leq \hat{\beta}<+\infty$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$. Initialization: Take $x^{0} \in C$ and set $k \leftarrow 0$. Step 1: Set
$z^{k}=P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k} u^{k}\right)$ with $u^{k} \in T\left(x^{k}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\alpha_{k}, u^{\alpha_{k}}\right)=\operatorname{Linesearch}\left(x^{k}, \beta_{k}, \delta\right)
$$

Step 2 (Stopping Criterion): If $z^{k}=x^{k}$ or $x^{k}=P_{C}\left(x^{k}-v^{k}\right)$ with $v^{k} \in T\left(x^{k}\right)$, then stop. Otherwise,
Step 3: Set

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{x}^{k} & :=\alpha_{k} z^{k}+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) x^{k},  \tag{3a}\\
\text { and } \quad x^{k+1} & :=\mathcal{F}\left(x^{k}\right) ; \tag{3b}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 4: If $x^{k+1}=x^{k}$, stop. Otherwise, set $k \leftarrow k+1$ and go to Step 1.

We consider two variants. Their main difference lies in the computation (3b):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(x^{k}\right)=P_{C}\left(P_{H\left(\bar{x}^{k}, u^{\alpha} k\right)}\left(x^{k}\right)\right) ;(\text { Variant 1) }  \tag{4}\\
& \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(x^{k}\right)=P_{C \cap H\left(\bar{x}^{k}, u^{\alpha_{k}}\right)}\left(x^{k}\right) ;(\text { Variant 2) } \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u^{\alpha_{k}} \in T\left(\bar{x}^{k}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, u):=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\langle u, y-x\rangle \leq 0\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Assumptions

## Assumptions

We assume that the operator $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfy the following conditions:

A1) $T$ is closed.
A2) $T$ is bounded on bounded sets.
A3) The solution sets of the dual and primal problems coincide ( $S_{0}=S_{*}$ ).

A1) The operator is closed when the graph is closed.
A2) Classical assumption in the literature.
A3) Weaker that pseudo-monotone. If $T$ is pseudo-monotone and A1, then A3 is satisfied.

## Convergence

## Proposition

If $x \in C$ is not a solution of Problem (1), Linesearch terminates after finitely many iterations.

## Proposition

$x^{k} \in S_{*} \leftrightarrow x^{k} \in H\left(\bar{x}^{k}, u^{\alpha_{k}}\right)$.
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## Proposition

$x^{k} \in S_{*} \leftrightarrow x^{k} \in H\left(\bar{x}^{k}, u^{\alpha_{k}}\right)$.

## Proposition

If the algorithm stops in a finite number of iterations, then stops in a solutions set.

## Convergence

Proposition for both Variants
$\left(x^{k}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ generated by Algorithm F. The following hold:
(1) The sequence $\left(x^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Fejér convergent to $S_{*}$.
(2) The sequence $\left(x^{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.
(3) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle u^{\alpha_{k}}, x^{k}-\bar{x}^{k}\right\rangle=0$.
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## Convergence
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## Variant 2

(1) $x^{k+1}=P_{C \cap H\left(\bar{x}^{k}, u^{\alpha} k\right)}\left(x^{k}\right)=P_{C \cap H\left(\bar{x}^{k}, u^{\alpha_{k}}\right)}\left(P_{H\left(\bar{x}^{k}, u^{\alpha} k\right)}\left(x^{k}\right)\right)$.
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## Theorem for both Variants

The sequences generated by both variants of the Algorithm F converges to a point in $S_{*}$.
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## Approximation of continuous functions

Consider the continuous function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a compact set.
We are interested in approximate $f$ by a function $\frac{p}{q}$ where $p \in \mathbb{F}_{n}$ and $q \in \mathbb{F}_{m}$, over the set $I$. In others words, we want to solve the optimisation problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{p \in \mathbb{F}_{n}, q \in \mathbb{F}_{m}} \sup _{t \in I}\left|f(t)-\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}\right| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Approximation of continuous functions

Consider the continuous function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a compact set.
We are interested in approximate $f$ by a function $\frac{p}{q}$ where $p \in \mathbb{F}_{n}$ and $q \in \mathbb{F}_{m}$, over the set $I$. In others words, we want to solve the optimisation problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{p \in \mathbb{F}_{n, q \in \mathbb{F}_{m}} \sup _{t \in I}\left|f(t)-\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}\right| . ~ . ~ . ~}^{\text {. }} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $p(t)=\left\langle\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}_{n}\right\rangle=a_{0} p_{0}(t)+a_{1} p_{1}(t)+a_{2} p_{2}(t) \cdots+a_{n} h_{n}(t)$, where $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and
$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{t}_{n}}=\left(p_{0}(t), p_{1}(t), p_{2}(t), \ldots, p_{n}(t)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for each $t \in I$.
$q(t)=\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{t}_{m}}\right\rangle=b_{0} q_{0}(t)+b_{1} q_{1}(t)+\cdots+b_{m} q_{m}(t)$.
where $p_{i}, q_{j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are real functions, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Problem (7) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in C} \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { where } \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\sup _{t \in I}\left|f(t)-\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{\left.\mathbf{p}_{t_{n}}\right\rangle}\right\rangle}{\left.\mathbf{( \mathbf { b } , \mathbf { q } _ { t } \rangle}\right\rangle}\right| \text { is a maximal deviation of } f \text { in } \\
& I \text {, and } C=\left\{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}:\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{t}_{m}\right\rangle \geq 1, \forall t \in I\right\} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem (7) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in C} \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\sup _{t \in I}\left|f(t)-\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{\left.\mathbf{,}, \mathbf{p}_{t_{n}}\right\rangle}^{\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{q}_{t}\right\rangle}\right\rangle}{}\right|$ is a maximal deviation of $f$ in $I$, and $C=\left\{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}:\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{t}_{m}\right\rangle \geq 1, \forall t \in I\right\}$.

If for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $p_{i}(t)=q_{i}(t)=t^{i}$, the problems (7) and (8) becomes in the classical Rational Approximation of a continuous function.

We denote by $A^{+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ and $A^{-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ the sets of actives values, i.e., $A^{+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\left\{t \in I: \Psi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\sigma_{t}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\right\}$ and
$A^{-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\left\{t \in I: \Psi \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=-\sigma_{t}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\right\}$, where
$\sigma_{t}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}):=f(t)-\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}_{t_{n}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{q}_{t_{m}}\right\rangle}$.

## Lemma

For all real function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ compact, the maximal deviation $\Psi^{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a quasi-convex function on $C$.

We denote by $A^{+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ and $A^{-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ the sets of actives values, i.e., $A^{+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\left\{t \in I: \Psi f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\sigma_{t}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\right\}$ and
$A^{-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\left\{t \in I: \Psi \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=-\sigma_{t}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\right\}$, where
$\sigma_{t}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}):=f(t)-\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}_{t_{n}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{q}_{t_{m}}\right\rangle}$.

## Lemma

For all real function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ compact, the maximal deviation $\Psi^{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a quasi-convex function on $C$.

## Proof

For all $t_{0} \in I$, the function $\left|f\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}_{t}^{\mathbf{0}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{t} m}^{0}\right\rangle}\right|$, is a quasi-linear function, then it is a quasi-convex. Since $\Psi^{f}$ is the supremum of quasi-convex functions, it is quasi-convex.

## Theorem (Rockafellar and Wets book)

The Clarke subdifferential of the function $\Psi^{f}$ can be computed as follow:

$$
\partial \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\nabla \sigma_{t}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}),-\nabla \sigma_{l}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}): t \in A^{+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), l \in A^{-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\right\} .
$$

## Theorem (Rockafellar and Wets book)

The Clarke subdifferential of the function $\Psi^{f}$ can be computed as follow:

$$
\partial \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\nabla \sigma_{t}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}),-\nabla \sigma_{l}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}): t \in A^{+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), l \in A^{-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\right\} .
$$

## Proposition

Given a continuous function $f$, if $0 \in \partial \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ then $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is a global minimizer of $\Psi f$.

## Theorem (Rockafellar and Wets book)

The Clarke subdifferential of the function $\Psi^{f}$ can be computed as follow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\nabla \sigma_{t}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}),-\nabla \sigma_{l}^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}): t \in A^{+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), l \in A^{-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\right\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proposition

Given a continuous function $f$, if $0 \in \partial \Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ then $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is a global minimizer of $\Psi f$.

## Theorem

The function $\Psi^{f}$ is a pseudo-convex function. Consequently the Clarke Subdifferential $\partial \Psi^{f}$ is a pseudomonotone operator.
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Since $\partial \Psi^{f}$ is a pseudo-monotone operator, taking $T=\partial \Psi^{f}$ we have $S_{0}=S_{*}$, satisfying (A3).

Since $\partial \Psi^{f}$ is a pseudo-monotone operator, taking $T=\partial \Psi^{f}$ we have $S_{0}=S_{*}$, satisfying (A3). It is not difficult to see that $\partial \Psi^{f}$ satisfy (A1) and (A2).

Since $\partial \Psi^{f}$ is a pseudo-monotone operator, taking $T=\partial \Psi^{f}$ we have $S_{0}=S_{*}$, satisfying (A3). It is not difficult to see that $\partial \Psi^{f}$ satisfy (A1) and (A2). It is well know that problems (8) and (1) when $T=\partial \Psi^{f}$ are equivalents. Then, solving problem (8) is enough to solve problem (1).

Since $\partial \Psi^{f}$ is a pseudo-monotone operator, taking $T=\partial \Psi^{f}$ we have $S_{0}=S_{*}$, satisfying (A3). It is not difficult to see that $\partial \Psi^{f}$ satisfy (A1) and (A2).
It is well know that problems (8) and (1) when $T=\partial \Psi^{f}$ are equivalents. Then, solving problem (8) is enough to solve problem (1).

How can we implement the Linesearch F?

## Linesearch $\mathbf{F}$ for Problem (8)

Input: $(a, b) \in C, \beta>0$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$.
Set $\alpha \leftarrow 1$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$.
For all $\left(u_{a}, u_{b}\right) \in\left\{\nabla \sigma_{t}^{f}(a, b),-\nabla \sigma_{l}^{f}(a, b): t \in A^{+}(a, b), l \in A^{-}(a, b)\right\}$, define $\left(z_{a}, z_{b}\right)=P_{C}\left((a, b)-\beta\left(u_{a}, u_{b}\right)\right)$.
If for each $\left(u_{a}, u_{b}\right)$ we have

$$
\min _{\left(u_{a}^{\alpha}, u_{b}^{\alpha}\right) \in D_{\alpha}}\left\langle\left(u_{a}^{\alpha}, u_{b}^{\alpha}\right),(a, b)-\left(z_{a}, z_{b}\right)\right\rangle<\delta\left\langle\left(u_{a}, u_{b}\right),(a, b)-\left(z_{a}, z_{b}\right)\right\rangle,
$$

where
$D_{\alpha}:=\left\{\nabla \sigma_{t}^{f}\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right),-\nabla \sigma_{l}^{f}\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right): t \in A^{+}\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right), l \in A^{-}\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ and
$\left(a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha\left(z_{a}, z_{b}\right)+(1-\alpha)(a, b)$,
then $\alpha \leftarrow \theta \alpha$, Else Return $\alpha$. Output: $\left(\alpha,\left(u_{a}^{\alpha}, u_{b}^{\alpha}\right)\right)$.

Unknown Solution on the interval

- 200 iterations and $I=[-1,1]$.
- $\Psi_{i}^{f}$ with $i=1,2$ denotes the function value for the Variants 1 and 2 respectively.
- iter is the iteration number in which was attained the best result.
- $n, m$ represent the degree of the numerator and denominator polynomials respectively.
- In all pictures, the blue colour is for Variant 1, the red colour for Variant 2. In green colour is the graph of the function $f$.

Algorithm F for unknown solution

| $f(t)$ | $(n, m)$ | Iter | $\Psi_{1}^{f}(a, b)$ | Iter | $\Psi_{2}^{f}(a, b)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\|t\|$ | $(2,2)$ | 161 | 0.068 | 186 | 0.070 |
| $\|t\|$ | $(3,3)$ | 193 | 0.072 | 191 | 0.069 |
| $\|t\|$ | $(4,3)$ | 198 | 0.069 | 198 | 0.069 |
| $\sin (t)$ | $(2,2)$ | 186 | 0.0097 | 186 | 0.014 |
| $\|\sin (t)\|$ | $(3,3)$ | 189 | 0.0714 | 193 | 0.0700 |
| $\sqrt{\|t\|}$ | $(4,4)$ | 193 | 0.186 | 185 | 0.182 |

## Objective function $\Psi f$
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## $f$ and $p / q$ and Objective function $\Psi f$

$f(t)=|\sin (t)|, n=m=3$.
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In this subsection we testing rational functions as the objective function.
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In this subsection we testing rational functions as the objective function.

## Known Solution over discrete $I$

- $d_{i}\left(x, S^{*}\right)$ denotes the distance between the last point to the solution set for the variant $i$.
- We stopped the algorithm when the function value $\Psi_{i}^{f}$ at the current point be less or equal to $10^{-3}$.
- For the compact set $I$, we used a collection of $M$ equidistant points on the interval $[-1,1]$.

Algorithm F for known solution

| $f(t)$ | $(n, m)$ | M | Iter $_{1}$ | $d_{1}\left(x, S^{*}\right)$ | Iter $_{2}$ | $d_{2}\left(x, S^{*}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(1,1)$ | 100 | 12 | $9.7 * 10^{-4}$ | 12 | $9.8 * 10^{-4}$ |
| 1 | $(2,2)$ | 200 | 455 | 0.004 | 381 | 0.002 |
| $\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}$ | $(1,2)$ | 100 | 423 | 0.009 | 135 | 0.004 |
| $\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}$ | $(2,2)$ | 200 | 4406 | 0.097 | 3950 | 0.097 |
| $\frac{t}{t+1.5}$ | $(1,1)$ | 100 | 4240 | 0.013 | 3137 | 0.006 |
| $\frac{1}{t+1.5}$ | $(2,2)$ | 200 | 6490 | 0.017 | 5875 | 0.009 |
| $\frac{t^{2}-1}{t+2}$ | $(2,2)$ | 200 | 2361 | 0.02 | 1730 | 0.043 |
| $\frac{t^{2}-1}{t+2}$ | $(3,2)$ | 100 | 14643 | 0.09 | 6306 | 0.039 |

## Non-polynomial rational approximation

In this section we consider different rational function to approximate continuous functions.

In this section we consider different rational function to approximate continuous functions.

Non-Polynomials Approximation

- CPU denotes the CPU time
- By $h(t)$, we denote the functions which compose the rational function
- For this subsection we consider as the objective function the continuous function $f(t)=\frac{\sin t-\cos t}{t+2}$.
- The compact set in all cases are the collection of $M$ equidistant points in the interval $[-1,1]$
- The stopping criteria is $\Psi^{f}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \leq 10^{-2}$.


## Algorithm F with non-polynomial

| $h(t)$ | $(n, m)$ | M | Iter $_{1}$ | CPU $_{1}$ | Iter $_{2}$ | CPU $_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e^{t}$ | $(3,3)$ | 20 | 625 | 16.9 | 410 | 11.3 |
| $e^{t}$ | $(3,3)$ | 100 | 585 | 21.1 | 569 | 23.4 |
| $e^{t}$ | $(5,4)$ | 200 | 2115 | 420.5 | 2094 | 419.2 |
| $e^{t}$ | $(10,8)$ | 100 | 5121 | 997.8 | 5099 | 940.1 |
| $\sin (t)$ | $(3,3)$ | 20 | 255 | 12.0 | 255 | 11.4 |
| $\sin (t)$ | $(3,3)$ | 100 | 429 | 44.6 | 311 | 35.3 |
| $\sin (t)$ | $(5,4)$ | 200 | 235 | 52.1 | 184 | 37.4 |
| $\sin (t)$ | $(10,8)$ | 100 | 136 | 23.9 | 214 | 20.9 |
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## Conclusions Remarks

## Conclusion Remarks

- A new Algorithm, containing two variants, for point-to-set Operators without continuity and monotonicity is proposed.
- The Clarke subdifferential of the maximal deviation function is a Pseudomonotone operator.
- An application to Rational Approximation is provided.

Open problems

- Can we extend Algorithm F to infinite dimensional spaces?
- Can we find an full implementable linesearch?
- Can we extend this algorithm for other Approximation Problems?
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