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## Motivation

Fixed-point iterations are widely used in applied and computational mathematics.

Convergence of fixed-point iterations is usually established analytically with inequalities. Such proofs are often unintuitive.

We introduce an alternate geometric approach based on elementary 2D geometry. These proofs are visual and intuitive.

Talk based on ${ }^{1}$.
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## A sample result

## Fact

Assume $f$ is $\mu$-strongly convex and $L$-smooth. Then

$$
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x^{k}\right)
$$

converge exponentially to the minimizer $x^{\star}$ with rate

$$
\left\|x^{k}-x^{\star}\right\| \leq(\max \{|1-\alpha \mu|,|1-\alpha L|\})^{k}\left\|x^{0}-x^{\star}\right\|
$$
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We make this illustration a rigorous proof.
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## Fixed-point terations

Using a fixed-point iteration:

1. Find an operator $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that if $x^{\star}=T\left(x^{\star}\right)$ then $x^{\star}$ is a solution to the problem at hand.
2. Perform the fixed-point iteration

$$
x^{k+1}=T\left(x^{k}\right)
$$

## Convergence via operator properties: nonexpansive

$T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is nonexpansive if

$$
\|T(x)-T(y)\| \leq\|x-y\| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

Fixed-point iterations with nonexpansive operators need not converge. (E.g. $T(x)=-x$.)

## Convergence via operator properties: contractive

$T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is contractive if

$$
\|T(x)-T(y)\| \leq L\|x-y\| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}
$$

with $L<1$.
If $T$ is contractive, $x^{k} \rightarrow x^{\star}$ strongly with rate $\left\|x^{k}-x^{\star}\right\| \leq L^{k}\left\|x^{0}-x^{\star}\right\|$.
(Banach contraction principle)

## Convergence via operator properties: averaged

$T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is averaged if $T=(1-\theta) I+\theta R$ for some nonexpansive operator $R$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$.

If $T$ is averaged and has a fixed point, then $x^{k} \rightarrow x^{\star}$ weakly for some fixed point $x^{\star}$.
(Krasnosel'skiĭ-Mann theorem)

## Convergence via operator properties
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General rubric for proving convergence of a fixed-point iteration:

1. Prove $T$ is contractive or averaged.
2. Apply convergence argument of Banach or Krasnosel'skiï-Mann.

Step 2 is routine. We present a geometric approach for step 1.
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## Operator and SRG transformation

## SRG of a nonlinear operator $A$

Pick $x \neq y, u \in A x$, and $v \in A y$. Consider the complex conjugate pair

$$
z=\frac{\|u-v\|}{\|x-y\|} \exp [ \pm i \angle(u-v, x-y)] .
$$

$\operatorname{Re} z$ and $\operatorname{Im} z$ respectively represent the components of $u-v$ aligned with and perpendicular to $x-y$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re} z=\operatorname{sgn}(\langle u-v, x-y\rangle) \frac{\left\|P_{\operatorname{span}\{x-y\}}(u-v)\right\|}{\|x-y\|} \\
& \operatorname{Im} z= \pm \frac{\left\|P_{\{x-y\}^{\perp}}(u-v)\right\|}{\|x-y\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the scaled relative graph (SRG) of $A: \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ with

$$
\mathcal{G}(A)=\{z \mid x \neq y, u \in A x, v \in A y\}(\cup\{\infty\} \text { if } A \text { is multi-valued })
$$

Interpretation: SRG to (nonlinear) operator $\cong$ eigenvalues to matrix.

## Examples of $\mathcal{G}$

$P_{L}=$ projection onto a line in $\mathbb{R}^{2}:$


$$
A\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]:
$$



$$
\partial\|\cdot\| \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2
$$

## Eigenvalues $\subseteq$ SRG

For matrices, the SRG generalizes eigenvalues.

Theorem
If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $n=1$ or $n \geq 3,{ }^{2}$ then $\Lambda(A) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(A)$.
The figure shows SRG of

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / 2 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

SRG is different from the numerical range
 (field of values) or the pseudospectrum.
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## SRG of normal matrices

SRG of normal matrices can be characterized with the Poincaré half-plane model of hyperbolic geometry ${ }^{3}$.
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## SRG of operator class $\mathcal{A}$

The SRG of an operator class $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})=\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{G}(A)
$$

We focus on SRGs of operator classes, rather than SRGs of individual operators, because most theorems are stated with operator classes. E.g. " $I-A$ is nonexpansive if $A$ is $1 / 2$-cocoercive."

## SRG of operator class $\mathcal{A}$


$\mathcal{M}_{\mu}$ : $\mu$-strongly monotone
$\partial \mathcal{F}_{\mu, \infty}$ : gradient of $\mu$-strgly-cvx. diff. func.

$\mathcal{C}_{\beta}: \beta$-cocoercive
$\partial \mathcal{F}_{0, \frac{1}{\beta}}$ : gradient of $\frac{1}{\beta}$-Lip.diff.cvx.func.

$\mathcal{L}_{L}:$ L-Lipschitz

$\mathcal{N}_{\theta}: \theta$-averaged

## Converse: from SRG to operator class

Given an operator class, we can draw the SRG, i.e., operator class $\Rightarrow$ SRG
follows from the definition.

Conversely, can we look at an SRG and say something about the operator class? In general, no. To perform the reasoning

$$
\text { SRG } \Rightarrow \text { operator class }
$$

we need further conditions.

## SRG-full classes

A class $\mathcal{A}$ of operators is SRG-full if

$$
A \in \mathcal{A} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{G}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})
$$

i.e., membership of $\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to containment of the $\operatorname{SRG}$. An SRG-full class is completely characterized by its SRG.
$A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ holds by definition of the SRG.
$A \in \mathcal{A} \Leftarrow \mathcal{G}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ is the substance of this definition.

Theorem (Informal)
The important operator classes are SRG full.
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## Operator tranformation $\cong$ SRG transformation

Algebraic operations on operators correspond to geometric operations on the SRG.

Under suitable conditions,

- $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B})=\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B})$
- $\mathcal{G}(\alpha \mathcal{A})=\alpha \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$
- $\mathcal{G}(I+\mathcal{A})=1+\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$
- $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{A}^{-1}\right)=(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}))^{-1}$
- $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B})=\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})+\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B})$
- $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A B})=\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}) \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B})$

Use these to prove theorems.

## Scaling and translation

Theorem
For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$,

$$
\mathcal{G}(\beta I+\alpha \mathcal{A})=\beta+\alpha \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}) .
$$

## Convergence analysis: gradient descent

Fact
Assume $f$ is $\mu$-strongly convex and $L$-smooth. Then

$$
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x^{k}\right)
$$

converge exponentially to the minimizer $x^{\star}$ with rate

$$
\left\|x^{k}-x^{\star}\right\| \leq(\max \{|1-\alpha \mu|,|1-\alpha L|\})^{k}\left\|x^{0}-x^{\star}\right\| .
$$

Proof. Theorem is equivalent to

$$
I-\alpha \partial \mathcal{F}_{\mu, L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{R}
$$

with $R=\max \{|1-\alpha \mu|,|1-\alpha L|\}$.

Inclusion of the class is equivalent to inclusion of the SRG

$$
\mathcal{G}\left(I-\alpha \partial \mathcal{F}_{\mu, L}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{L}_{R}\right) .
$$



## Convergence analysis: forward step iteration

Fact
Assume $A$ is $\mu$-strongly monotone and L-Lipschitz. Then

$$
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-\alpha A x^{k}
$$

converge exponentially to the zero $x^{\star}$ with rate

$$
\left\|x^{k}-x^{\star}\right\| \leq\left(1-2 \alpha \mu+\alpha^{2} L^{2}\right)^{k / 2}\left\|x^{0}-x^{\star}\right\|
$$

## Proof.



## Inversive geometry

The inversion map is $z \mapsto \bar{z}^{-1}$. In polar form, $r e^{i \varphi} \mapsto(1 / r) e^{i \varphi}$, i.e., inversion preserves the angle and inverts the magnitude.

Inversion is a classical tool in Euclidean geometry, and is known as the Möbius transformation in complex analysis.


## Inversive geometry

Generalized circles consist of a (finite) circles in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and lines with $\{\infty\}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Inversion maps generalized circles to generalized circles.




## Operator inversion $\cong$ SRG inversion

Theorem

$$
\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{A}^{-1}\right)=(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}))^{-1}
$$

(To clarify, $(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}))^{-1}=\left\{z^{-1} \mid z \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})\right\}$.)

## Convergence analysis: Peaceman-Rachford splitting

## Fact

Assume $A$ is $\mu$-strongly monotone and $\beta$-cocoercive. Then $z^{k+1}=\left(2 J_{\alpha A}-I\right)\left(2 J_{\alpha B}-I\right) z^{k}$ converge exponentially to the fixed point $z^{\star}$ with rate

$$
\left\|z^{k}-z^{\star}\right\| \leq\left(1-\frac{4 \alpha \mu}{1+2 \alpha \mu+\alpha^{2} \mu / \beta}\right)^{k / 2}\left\|z^{0}-z^{\star}\right\|
$$

## Proof.





By Stewart's theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{O A}^{2} & =\frac{\overline{O C} \cdot \overline{A B}^{2}+\overline{B O} \cdot \overline{C A}^{2}-\overline{B O} \cdot \overline{O C} \cdot \overline{B C}}{\overline{B C}} \\
& =\frac{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}\left(1-\frac{\alpha \mu}{1+\alpha \mu}\right)^{2}+\frac{\alpha \mu}{1+\alpha \mu}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{2}-\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\alpha \mu}{1+\alpha \mu}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}+\frac{\alpha \mu}{1+\alpha \mu}\right)}{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}+\frac{\alpha \mu}{1+\alpha \mu}} \\
& =1-\frac{4 \alpha \mu}{1+2 \alpha \mu+\alpha^{2} \mu / \beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Composition of operators

Theorem
Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be SRG-full classes. Assume the SRGs do not contain $\infty$ and are not empty. If $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the left or right-arc property


Left arc property


Right arc property
then

$$
\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A B})=\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B A})=\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}) \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}) .
$$

(The SRGs commute even though the operators do not.)

## Convergence: alternating projections

Fact
The alternating projections method $x^{k+1}=P_{C} P_{D} x^{k}$ converge in that $x^{k} \rightarrow x^{\star}$ weakly for some $x^{\star} \in C \cap D$.

Follows from the following result.

## Composition of firmly nonexpansive operators

Theorem
Let $\mathcal{N}_{1 / 2}$ be the class of firmly nonexpansive operators. Then

$$
\mathcal{G}\left(\mathcal{N}_{1 / 2} \mathcal{N}_{1 / 2}\right)=\overbrace{\left\{r e^{i \varphi} \mid 0 \leq r \leq \cos ^{2}(\varphi / 2)\right\}}
$$

and $\mathcal{N}_{1 / 2} \mathcal{N}_{1 / 2} \subset \mathcal{N}_{2 / 3}$.
(Shape known as cardiod.)

## Proof outline. The SRG is the union with $\varphi_{1} \in[0,2 \pi]$.



Write $\mathcal{I}$ for the inversion mapping. In the inverted space we have


The union of the half-spaces forms a parabola


Find the largest circle inscribed in the left of the parabola and invert.


## Conclusion

- SRG maps the action of an operator to the 2D plane.
- Algebraic operations on operators correspond to geometric operations on SRGs.
- With SRG, we analyze fixed-point iterations with geometric proofs.
- SRG has also been used to establish convergence of the deep-learning based "Plug-and-Play" method for image denoising (ICML 2019).
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